IBBJ

Summer 2019, Vol 5, No 3

Original Article

Influence of Electric Bulb Light Color and Type on the Attraction of Two Spotted Cricket (*Gryllus bimaculatus* De Geer)

Alexander Timothy Chukwu[1], Emmanuel Okrikata[1]*

1. Department of Biological Sciences, Federal University Wukari, Taraba State, Nigeria.

Submitted 2 Jul 2019; Accepted 21 Aug 2019; Published 15 Sep 2019

Aside being a key nocturnal Agricultural pest, the two spotted cricket is an important source of protein for humans and livestock. While control is largely by synthetic pesticides, collection is majorly through hand picking and pouring of water into their burrows. In this study, we determined their phototactic response to LED and incandescent bulb light of different colours. An experiment was setup in Federal University, Wukari, using fabricated light traps with 3 Watts light emitting diode (LED) and, 25 Watts incandescent bulbs of different colours (red, yellow, green, blue and white). A control trap was also added. The setup was from 1800hr to 2400hr. *G. bimaculatus* collected were counted and data subjected to variance analysis and significantly different means were separated using Student Newman Keul's test at 5% level of probability. The relationship between light intensity of each bulb type and insect density was determined by correlation and linear regression analysis. The results showed that while LED had higher mean intensity despite lower wattage, Blue colour had the highest mean intensity (736.80 Lux) among the LEDs and white (1094.20 Lux) among the incandescent bulbs. Statistical analysis indicated that differences among LED bulb colours were due to random variation; however was significant ($p < 0.001$) among incandescent bulbs. 93.7% of total *G. bimaculatus* collected was attracted by LED bulbs with blue LED bulb alone attracting 57.1% of the total insects. Light intensity was positively and significantly correlated with *G. bimaculatus* count for both LED ($r = 0.92$, $R^2 = 84.4\%$) and incandescent ($r = 0.96$, $R^2 = 92.5\%$). Higher attraction of *G. bimaculatus* to blue coloured LED bulbs could be as a result of preference to blue colour and/or high light intensity. Hence, blue LED bulbs can be used to manipulate the insect for the benefit of man.

Keywords: Artificial light, *G. bimaculatus*, incandescent bulb, LED bulb, light colour, light intensity, preference

The phototactic response of some insects explains why they are often seen hovering around lit artificial light sources especially at night (1), thereby helping in foraging, navigation and mate selection (2), while leading to the invasion of harmful species to inhabited areas in some cases (1). Preferences to different light bulb colours and types have been studied in a number of insects (3-8), with some findings showing that some electric bulb colours or types might pose a threat to the biodiversity of surrounding ecosystems due to their high attractiveness to a wide range of insect species (9).

The two-spotted cricket (*G. bimaculatus*) has been considered as nuisance in some part of the world where they periodically invade residential areas causing irritations especially by their chirpings (10). In some other places, the insect has been reported as pests of crops such as egg-plant (11),

*Correspondence: Department of Biological Sciences, Federal University Wukari, Taraba State, Nigeria.
E-mail: okrikata@yahoo.com

[Downloaded from ibbj.org on 2026-09-05]

tobacco, all vegetables, corn, sunflower, cotton, fruits, among others (12). On the other hand, the two-spotted cricket has been reported to be a better, more affordable and accessible source of animal protein which can be used in balancing the essential protein needs in humans and livestock such as poultry, thereby reducing the risk of having individuals with such protein deficiency caused diseases or death and hence improving the health and productivity of both humans and livestock (13). Additionally, cricket farming is presently a trending agricultural practice in countries such as Thailand and Cambodia (13). *G. bimaculatus* is also an important research specimen. For example, (14) reported that the insect has long been used as a model for behavior, neurobiology, physiology and insect developmental genetics as well. Specifically, the two-spotted cricket have become models of speciation research and have been the subjects of prominent research programmes in speciation over the years (15-17).

The rigors of controlling the insect in areas where they are pests and the means of harvesting it for food, agriculture, research, trade, among others have been shown to be costly, stressful and discouraging. Therefore, the objective of this study is to determine the preference of *G. bimaculatus* to LED and incandescent light colours for the purpose of recommending easier and cost effective means of manipulating the insect for the benefit of man.

Materials and methods

Study site

The study was carried out in the Research farm of Federal University Wukari, Taraba State, Nigeria. Wukari Local Government Area has an area of 4,308 square kilometres, with latitude of 7.89N and longitude 9.77E. It is a guinea savannah which has an average elevation of 189m, an annual average temperature of 26.8°C and an annual precipitation of 1,205mm.

Insect trap design

The trap was fabricated in May 2019 using transparent white plastic sheetings (served as the screen), wooden frames/poles, 5 differently coloured (ECOMIN-QP05014/3W; AC220-240V/50-60Hz) light emitting diode (LED) bulbs (yellow, red, blue, green and white); same for incandescent bulbs (JUNGSRAM 25W; G45, 220V, E27, 1000h). The bulbs were powered by Tiger-generator (TG950). The light intensity of each bulb was measured in a dark room using a digital Lux meter (Serial no.: 20111100416).

The white plastic sheeting was attached to two wooden frames at both sides to form a screen and a bulb was placed on top of each trap to reflect on the screen at a height of 1m above ground level. Each trap was placed at a 3m distance apart from each other to prevent masking effect by adjacent light colours (Figure 1).

A 10 litre capacity bowl which was ¾ filled with water containing 2 % liquid soap (which served as a wetting agent) was placed below each trap and used as the collection vessel. A total of six traps were made for each bulb type, of which five had the different coloured bulbs (LED and Incandescent) mentioned above hanging on the screen. A trap without bulb served as the control. Species attracted to the respective light colours and control, fell into the bowl on hitting the screen and were trapped. Traps were not set when there are signs of rainfall and collections were discarded any night it rained. The experiment was conducted on six different days.

Insect collection and Identification

The trap was set from 1800 hours to 2400 hours and the two-spotted crickets trapped by the different bulbs and colours were collected into different well-labelled sample bottles containing 70% ethanol for preservation and subsequent counting. Sample specimens were sent to the insect museum of Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria for confirmatory identification after conducting a preliminary morphological identification of the insects using both the insect microscope and hand lens in the Biological Science laboratory of Federal University, Wukari.

[Downloaded from ibbj.org on 2026-09-05]

Figure 1. Showing light traps setup [**Trap 1:** control, **Trap 2:** green, **Trap 3:** red, **Trap 4:** white, **Trap 5:** yellow and **Trap 6:** blue] spaced 3 m apart in the field.

Statistical analysis

The count data were transformed using √x + 0.5 before variance analysis. Significantly different treatment means were separated by Student Newman Keul's test at 5 % level of probability. The relationship between intensity of light colours of each bulb type and density of *G. bimaculatus* attracted were determined by correlation and linear regression. All analysis were done using IBM SPSS Statistics version 23.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

Results

Light intensities and attractiveness of bulb light colours to *G. bimaculatus*

The measured light intensities of the bulbs showed that Red, Yellow and White incandescent bulbs had higher intensities than their corresponding LED bulbs while, Green and Blue LED bulbs had higher intensities than their corresponding incandescent bulbs. Overall, despite low wattage (3W) the LED bulbs had higher mean intensity (381.03 Lux) over the 25W incandescent bulbs (338.63 Lux) (Table 1).

Among the LEDs, Blue and white bulbs attracted the highest proportion of *G. bimaculatus*; 57.1% and 21.3% respectively while the least was Red LED bulb with 1.3% attraction. Table 1 also indicates that the blue LED bulb attracted the highest number of *G. bimaculatus* (4.07±1.67), and this was followed by white bulb (2.61±0.64) and green bulb (2.11±0.49). The control trap attracted the least number of *G. bimaculatus*. Variance analysis however showed that, the differences in *G. bimaculatus* attracted by LED bulbs were due to random variation ($p = 0.17$) (Table 1).

Among the incandescent bulbs however, Table 1 shows a significant difference ($p < 0.001$) in their attractiveness to *G. bimaculatus*. White colour attracted significantly the highest mean number of *G. bimaculatus* while the other bulb colours were statistically at par with the control trap which attracted the lowest mean number (0.71±0.00) alongside green and blue bulbs.

Relative attractiveness of LED and incandescent bulb light colours to *G. bimaculatus*

Across the bulb colours and the pooled mean, LED bulbs consistently attracted more *G. bimaculatus* than incandescent except, for green colour where LED (2.11±0.49) was significantly (t-α =0.04) more attractive than incandescent (p = 0.71±0.00) and for red colour where both bulbs were at par (t-α =0.00).

Relationship between attractiveness of *G. bimaculatus* by bulb light colours and their intensities

Light intensity was positively and significantly correlated with *G. bimaculatus* density of both LED ($r = 0.92$, $R^2 = 84.4\%$) and incandescent ($r = 0.96$, $R^2 = 92.5\%$) with p-values of 0.01 and 0.04, respectively.

[Downloaded from ibbj.org on 2026-09-05]

Table 1. Light intensities of LED and incandescent bulbs and their attractiveness to *G. bimaculatus*

Bulb Colours	LED Bulb		Incandescent Bulb	
	Light intensity (Lux)	Mean Number of *G. bimaculatus* Attracted	Light intensity (Lux)	Mean Number of *G. bimaculatus* Attracted
Red	60.18±5.97^{e}	0.86±0.15^{a}	65.36±3.55^{d}	0.86±0.15^{b}
Yellow	243.20±16.47^{d}	1.90±0.67^{a}	334.00±3.36^{b}	0.80±0.09^{b}
Green	513.80±27.00^{b}	2.11±0.49^{a}	154.20±4.24^{c}	0.71±0.00^{b}
Blue	736.80±13.02^{a}	4.07±1.67^{a}	45.40±1.57^{de}	0.71±0.00^{b}
White	351.20±4.31^{c}	2.61±0.64^{a}	1094.20±38.51^{a}	1.80±0.14^{a}
Control	0.00±0.00^{f}	0.71±0.00^{a}	0.00±0.00^{e}	0.71±0.00^{b}
F-value	38.27	1.76	67.52	22.45
P-value	<0.001	0.17	<0.001	<0.001

[1] - Means (±SE) followed by the same superscript letter(s) within a column are not significantly different using Student-Newman Keul's (SNK) test ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table 2. Comparison between LED and incandescent bulb colours on the attraction of *G. bimaculatus*

Variable	Mean Count for LED	Mean Count for Incandescent	Mean Difference	*t-value*	*P-value*
Red	0.86±0.15	0.86±0.15	0.00±0.21	0.00	1.00
Yellow	1.90±0.67	0.80±0.09	1.10±0.67	1.64	0.16
Green	2.11±0.49	0.71±0.00	1.40±0.49	2.84	0.04
Blue	4.07±1.67	0.71±0.00	3.36±1.67	2.01	0.10
White	2.61±0.64	1.80±0.14	0.81±0.66	1.23	0.27
Grand Mean	2.31±0.71	0.97±0.06	1.33±0.71	1.89	0.12

Table 3. Correlation analysis and linear regression between *G. bimaculatus* count and bulb light intensity

Variable	Correlation Coefficient (r)	Regression Equation	Coefficient of Determination (R^2)
Insect count x LED Intensity	0.92**	Y = 4.315 + 0.025x	0.844*
Insect count x Incandescent Intensity	0.96*	Y = 3.768 + 0.006x	0.925**

* = significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$), ** = significantly different ($P \leq 0.01$), *** = significantly different ($P \leq 0.001$), ^{ns} = not significantly different ($P > 0.05$).

Discussion

Different insects have preferences for different light bulb colours and types which could be attributed to the differences in wavelength /intensities of these respective colours (3, 4). Insect abundance including *G. bimaculatus* has been reported to be more in the tropics (18), but this abundance is not adequately harnessed for man's

[Downloaded from ibbj.org on 2026-09-05]

benefit. Blue colour has been reported by (19) as a region of maximum stimulation for majority of insects. Similar result was observed in the current study with respect to LED.

That white light is highly attractive to *G. bimaculatus* in current study have been reported by Donoughe and Mietch (4, 13), Mietch pointing out that white light is being used in insect traps to harvest *G. bimaculatus* in Cambodia and Thailand. Cumulatively, blue coloured LED bulb proved to be most attractive in the present study. This contrasts the finding of (20) who found red coloured incandescent bulbs to be most attractive to orthopterans predominated by *G. bimaculatus*. The high attractiveness of *G. bimaculatus* to blue coloured LED is of interest as it can be harnessed for proper management of the species especially in the tropics where the species is abundant. In areas where *G. bimaculatus* are nuisance, reducing the use of blue LEDs as outdoor lights (as seen at night in some recreation centres) can effectively reduce the density of the attracted insect species thus saving the cost of pesticide and potential danger of exposure to some of the toxic chemicals which are used in controlling the species.

Making insecticidal traps using blue coloured LED will be efficient in managing the species in areas where they occur as pests thereby providing a better, cost effective and environmentally friendly management strategy for the species. Additionally, the rigors of collecting *G. bimaculatus* for food, agriculture, scientific research, conservation and even export can be drastically reduced with the knowledge that blue coloured LED bulbs are effective attractants of the species. Hence, appropriate blue coloured LED insect traps can be used to effectively and efficiently harvest the insect species.

LED bulbs have been reported to be insect friendly (9), eco-friendly and energy saving (7) and hardly emits ultraviolet radiations. It has also been observed that different bulb types attract insects at varying levels (3, 4, 21). Contrary to the findings of the current study, Wakefield and Barghini (7, 22) in their studies opined that LEDs are less attractive to many insect species than their incandescent, compact fluorescent and metallic halide counterparts as many insect species according to them, are disproportionately attracted to UV lights.

However, even though the difference between LED and incandescent bulbs with respect to attractiveness to *G. bimaculatus* in the present study was due to random variation, that LED was more attractive than incandescent as observed in the present study, buttresses the findings of (6, 23) who showed that of Incandescent, Compact Fluorescent and LED bulbs; LED bulbs was most attractive to insects. In addition, even though (13) reported higher insect attractiveness to halogen bulbs because of its emission of UV light in southern England, LED bulbs was reported by the authors to attract greater insect diversity than the incandescent bulbs. In a study conducted by (4, 20); they observed irrespective of colours, incandescent bulbs were more attractive than compound fluorescent light with respect to orthopteran species. That incandescent bulbs were more attractive to fluorescent light in their study and LED to incandescent in the present study underscores the need to evaluate the responses of insects to different electric light types.

Even though the LED bulbs used in the current study were of lower wattages (3W) compared to their incandescent counterparts (25W); overall, the LED bulbs had a higher mean light intensity than the incandescent bulbs. This might be responsible for higher attraction of the insect species to the LED bulbs than to the incandescent bulbs, thus buttressing the report of (6) who opined that higher light intensity for LED bulbs relative to incandescent resulted to higher attractiveness of the insects to LEDs. Similarly, (8) showed that higher attractiveness of insects to light sources was due to relative higher light intensity. These, agrees with the current study which showed rising attraction of *G. bimaculatus* with increased light intensity.

[Downloaded from ibbj.org on 2026-09-05]

The effect of light intensity on the attraction of *G. bimaculatus* in this study was also observed among the incandescent bulbs in which white incandescent bulb which had the highest intensity resulted to higher attractiveness of the insect species. That LED bulbs are more efficient than incandescent bulbs in relation to light intensity as reported by (8) was obvious in the current study where 3W LED bulbs produced higher light intensity than 25W incandescent bulbs. This indicates that higher wattages of the LED bulbs will produce higher light intensity which will result in even a higher attraction of *G. bimaculatus*.

Conclusion

The study has shown that *G. bimaculatus* are attracted to artificial light at night. It has also shown that the species are attracted predominantly to blue LED bulbs and that lights of higher intensities attract more of the species than low intensity lights. It is therefore suggested that their attraction to bulb types and colours is due to the influence of bulb colour and/or light intensity. Hence appropriate use of bulb colour and light intensity can be instrumental in manipulating the insect species for the benefit of man.

Acknowledgements

This study is part of a Project leading to the award of a Degree in Biology of Federal University, Wukari, Nigeria. Sincere thanks to Samaila Nuhu and Stephen Yakubu for their support during the field work. The expertise of Mr. Ishaku Musa of the Insect Museum of Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria in identifying the insect samples is well appreciated. Sincere gratitude also, to Mr. Adeniyi Ogunmola of Mathematics and Statistics Department, Federal University, Wukari for data analysis.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

References

1. Barghini A, de Medeiros BA. Artificial lighting as a vector attractant and cause of disease diffusion. Environ Health Perspect. 2010;118(11):1503-6.

2. Adeoye OT, Oyelowo OJ, Adebisi-Fagbohungbe TA, Akinyemi OD. Eco-diversity of edible insects of Nigeria and its impact on food security. J Biol Life Sci. 2014;5(2).

3. Ashfaq M, Khan RA, Khan MA, Rasheed F, Hafeez S. Insect orientation to various color lights in the agricultural biomes of Faisalabad. Pak Entomol. 2005;27(1):49-52.

4. Nirmal A, Rupesh K, Yogesh K, Jaya L. Evaluation of light trap against different coloured electric bulbs for trapping phototrophic insects. Int J Cur Microb Appl Sci. 2017;6(6):2068-73.

5. Pate J, Curtis A. Insect Response To Different Wavelengths of Light in New River State Park, Ashe County, North Carolina. Field Biol Ecol. 2001;12(2):4-8.

6. Pawson SM, Bader M-F. LED lighting increases the ecological impact of light pollution irrespective of color temperature. Ecol Appl. 2014;24(7):1561-8.

7. Wakefield A, Broyles M, Stone EL, Harris S, Jones G. Quantifying the attractiveness of broad-spectrum street lights to aerial nocturnal insects. J Appl Ecol. 2018;55(2):714-22.

8. Wakefield A, Broyles M, Stone EL, Jones G, Harris S. Experimentally comparing the attractiveness of domestic lights to insects: do LED s attract fewer insects than conventional light types? Ecol Evol. 2016;6(22):8028-36.

9. Eisenbeis G, Eick K, editors. Attraction of nocturnal insects to street lights with special regard to LEDs. Society for Conservation Biology, 24th Annual Meeting; 2010.

10. Bradley L, Gibson R. Cricket management1998. Available from: http://ag.arizona.edu/extension/pubs/garden/az1004.pdf.

11. Oso A, Borisade O. Pest profile and damage assessment on three land races of eggplant (Solanum spp) in ekiti state, Nigeria. European Journal of Physical and Agricultural Sciences Vol. 2017;5(1).

12. Ogbalu O, Renner R. Microbiological investigations on gryllotalpa Africana (Orthoptera: Gryllotalpidae), an edible cricket of the Niger Delta. J Pharm Biol Sci. 2015;10(1):38-42.

13. Miech P. Cricket farming an alternative for producing food and feed in Cambodia. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences Uppsala. 2018.

14. Donoughe S, Extavour CG. Embryonic development of the cricket Gryllus bimaculatus. Dev Biol. 2016;411(1):140-56.

15. Broughton RE, Harrison RG. Nuclear gene genealogies reveal

[Downloaded from ibbj.org on 2026-09-05]

historical, demographic and selective factors associated with speciation in field crickets. Genetics. 2003;163(4):1389-401.

16. Gray DA, Gutierrez NJ, Chen TL, Gonzalez C, Weissman DB, Cole JA. Species divergence in field crickets: genetics, song, ecomorphology, and pre-and postzygotic isolation. Biol J Linn Soc. 2016;117(2):192-205.

17. Mendelson TC, Shaw KL. Rapid speciation in an arthropod. Nature. 2005;433(7024):375-6.

18. Mito T, Noji S. The two-spotted cricket Gryllus bimaculatus: an emerging model for developmental and regeneration studies. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2008;2008(12):pdb. emo110.

19. Cruz MS, Lindner R. Insect Vision: Ultraviolet, Color, and LED Light University of Georgia Department of Entomology2011 [Available from: https://www.discoverlife.org/moth/OTHER/InsectVision_UVColorandLEDLight.pdf.

20. Chitra R. A study on the sensitivity of insects to different colours of light. Int j zool stud. 2018;3(3):38-41.

21. Ebadi H, Perry M, Short K, Klemm K, Desplan C, Stadler PF, et al. Patterning the insect eye: From stochastic to deterministic mechanisms. PLoS Comput Biol. 2018;14(11):e1006363.

22. Barghini A, Souza de Medeiros BA. UV radiation as an attractor for insects. Leukos. 2012;9(1):47-56.

23. Bishop AL, Worrall R, Spohr LJ, McKenzie HJ, Barchia IM. Response of Culicoides spp.(Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) to light-emitting diodes. Aust J Entomol. 2004;43(2):184-8.

In Press

[Downloaded from ibbj.org on 2026-09-05]